

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

S

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/070,037	02/26/2002	Meang K. Chia	B-4496PCT 619513-8 9827	
5	7590 05/13/2004		EXAMINER	
Kam C Louie			MITCHELL, KATHERINE W	
Ladas & Parry Suite 2100 5670 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90036-5679			ART UNIT	DADED MINES
				PAPER NUMBER
			3677	
Los Migeles,	CA 90030-3079		DATE MAILED: 05/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/070,037	CHIA ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Katherine W Mitchell	3677					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
There final cond	REPLY FILED 23 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THe fore, further action by the applicant is required to a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (ition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appenination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh eal (with appeal\fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in	ed .				
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) b)	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	of the final rejection.	no				
have b 37 CFI (b) abo	706:07(f). Attensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date for purposes of determining the period of extent at 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened by the Office later than three may patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the	e fee. The appropriate extension fee up the final Office action; or (2) as set for	nder rth in				
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2.🖂	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:						
(a	a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(0	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying	, the				
(0	l) 🔲 they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.					
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):						
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
	Claim(s) allowed:		÷					
·	Claim(s) objected to:							
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>131-137 and 139-147</u> .							
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8.	. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
9.	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>								

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: insert upper decorative surface entirely positioned below the top is a new limitation that has not been searched or considered.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: except for claim 145, applicant is arguing new limitations "entirely below". Re Claim 145, the fact that studs are in the hollow elements does not require the studs themselves to be hollow elements.

Continuation of 10. Other: USPTO has different priority documents than those listed in applicant's Declaration (page 5). Applicant is requested to review priority documents to ensure that they agree with those listed on page 5 of the Declaration filed 6/20/02 and 2/26/2002.

gga

JJ Swann Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3600